

Missouri



DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES



**Missouri Juvenile Court
Annual Report
Calendar Year 1996**

Missouri Department of Social Services
221 West High Street
P.O. Box 1527
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-1527

Missouri Department of Social Services

Mission Statement

To maintain or improve the quality of life for the people of the state of Missouri by providing the best possible services to the public, with respect, responsiveness and accountability, which will enable individuals and families to better fulfill their potential.

Missouri Division of Youth Services

Mission Statement

The mission of the Division of Youth Services is to enable youth to fulfill their needs in a responsible manner within the context of and with respect for the needs of the family and the community.

Dear Reader:

The Division of Youth Services is pleased to present to you the 1996 Missouri Juvenile Court Annual Report. This is the fifty-second annual juvenile court report written to provide pertinent statistics regarding the number and characteristics of referrals made to Missouri's juvenile courts.

We extend our appreciation to the Governor, the Missouri Legislature, the Department of Social Services, and court personnel throughout the state for their cooperation in this project. Thanks are also due to the Research and Evaluation Unit for helping to collect and assemble the data and writing this report.

Very truly yours,

Mark D. Steward
Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW	1
Race and Gender Comparisons	1
Referral Types	2
Referral Trends	3
Referral Sources	3
Most Common Major Allegations	4
Disposition of Referrals	5
Certifications to Adult Court	7
Pre-Hearing Placements	8
LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS	9
Law Violation Outcomes	9
Prior Violations	9
Age Distribution of Law Violators	10
STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS	11
Status Offense Outcomes	11
Status Offense Placements	12
ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS	13
Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes	13
Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	13
APPENDICES:	15
A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	16
B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	18
C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	20
D: Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	22
E: Out-of-Home Placements by Circuit	24
F: In-Home Services by Circuit	25
G: Referrals by Type by Circuit and County	26
H: Referrals by Type and Level by Circuit	28
I: Number of <i>Juveniles</i> Certified to Adult Court by Circuit, Race and Gender	29
MISSOURI'S 45 JUDICIAL CIRCUITS (map)	30

CHARTS AND TABLES

Table 1.	Annual Referrals	1
Chart 1.	Total Referrals by Race and Gender	1
Table 2.	Juvenile Court Referral Statistics: 1987-1996	2
Chart 2.	Ten Year Trend of Referrals	3
Table 3.	Referral Source	3
Chart 3.	Most Common Major Allegation: Male	4
Chart 4.	Most Common Major Allegation: Female	4
Chart 5.	Disposition of Referrals	6
Chart 6.	Outcomes of Formally Disposed Referrals	6
Chart 7.	Outcomes of Informally Disposed Referrals	6
Chart 8.	Out-of-Home Placements	7
Chart 9.	In-Home Service Providers	7
Table 4.	Referrals Certified to Adult Court - Age by Race	7
Table 5.	Pre-Hearing Placements by Referral Type and Gender	8
Chart 10.	Non-Secure Placements: Type of Facility	8
Chart 11.	Non-Secure Placements: Operator of Facility	8
Chart 12.	Outcomes of Law Violation Referrals	9
Table 6.	Average Prior Law Violations for Certain Offense Categories	9
Table 7.	Law Violation Referrals per Allegation by Age	10
Chart 13.	Outcomes of Status Offense Referrals	11
Chart 14.	Status Offense Referrals by Gender	11
Table 8.	Pre-Hearing Placements: Status Offense Referrals	12
Table 9.	Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals	12
Table 10.	Referrals by Category	13
Chart 15.	Outcomes of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	13
Table 11.	Age Group of Victim	13

JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW

This report is the fifty-second in a series of juvenile court statistics and the nineteenth published under the direction of the Division of Youth Services (DYS) and the Department of Social Services Research and Evaluation Unit as mandated by section 219.016.(3), RSMo 1994. This report presents the summary statistics of Missouri's juvenile court system for calendar year 1996.

The numbers represented in this report are not individual children, but separately disposed court referrals. A referral is defined as "any action involving a juvenile which results in a determination, finding, or outcome and a written record maintained in the juvenile's name." A disposed referral is one which has resulted in a "finding" or "outcome". Examples of findings and outcomes include:

Court Adjudication

Informal Adjustment

Transfer to other Court

Rejection of Referral

Throughout this report, the term "referral" means "disposed referral".


**Table 1.
Annual Referrals**

YEAR	REFERRALS
1992	73,449
1993	72,748
1994	77,460
1995	80,175
1996	84,131

In calendar year 1996, 84,131 referrals were disposed of by the 45 juvenile court circuits in Missouri. This number marks a 14.5 percent increase over the five year period since 1992, and a five percent increase over 1995.

Because of problems with individual identification systems for juveniles, the number of *children* represented by these referrals cannot be accurately reported. Missouri does not use a unique, individual identifier and, therefore, an exact statewide count of individual children is not available.

Chart 1. Total Referrals by Race and Gender



Race and Gender Comparisons

Chart 1 shows the gender and race breakdown of all referrals in 1996. Males made up the larger portion of each racial grouping, accounting for 67 percent of all referrals, while females made up 33 percent. White youth comprised 66 percent of the referral population, while black youth comprised 32 percent. Just under three percent of the referral population were other races.

Referral Types

Referrals to Missouri's juvenile courts break down into four categories:

- Law Violations:** Acts which would be a violation of the Missouri Criminal Code if they were committed by an adult.
- Status Violations:** Acts which are violations only if committed by a juvenile. These include such activities as truancy and running away from home.
- Abuse, Neglect, Custody: (A/N/C)** Reports of Missouri children who were victims of abuse or neglect, and children involved in custody disputes, transfers, or terminations of parental rights.
- Administrative:** Reported referrals of violations of court orders. Prior to 1992, this category also included permanency planning reviews and other miscellaneous referrals such as probation violations.

Table 2. Juvenile Court Referral Statistics: 1987-1996

YEAR	ABUSE, NEGLECT, CUSTODY	ADMINIS- TRATIVE	LAW VIOLATION	STATUS OFFENSE	TOTAL
1987	9,380	8,995	33,786	12,220	64,381
1988	11,488	9,545	36,580	13,771	71,384
1989	12,138	10,682	37,185	13,728	73,733
1990	12,949	12,225	38,920	13,706	77,800
1991	13,073	9,326	40,180	13,708	76,287
1992	13,523	452 *	45,451	14,023	73,449
1993	14,014	558 *	43,070	15,106	72,748
1994	13,851	883 *	41,268	21,458 **	77,460
1995	11,743	973 *	44,048	23,411 **	80,175
1996	13,104	929 *	46,299	23,799 **	84,131

* The definitions for reporting in the Administrative category were changed in 1992.

** The definitions for reporting in the Status Offense category changed in 1994 to include curfew violations.

Table 2 shows the breakdown of all referrals into the four referral categories over the past ten years. Administrative referrals decreased from 1995, while abuse, neglect and custody referrals jumped by 12 percent. Law violation referrals comprised 55 percent of all referrals statewide in 1996 and increased five percent from 1995. Status offenses increased to 23,800, just under a two percent increase over 1995.

Referral Trends

Chart 2 illustrates the change in total referrals, by category, over the past ten years. While an increase has been the general trend for each, status violations show the most dramatic increase, partly due to reporting definition changes. From 1987 to 1996, law violation referrals increased 37 percent, while abuse, neglect and custody referrals increased 40 percent.




Table 3. Referral Source


Law Enforcement	
Municipal Police	50,146
County Sheriff	6,189
Other Law Enforcement	952
Highway Patrol	515
Division of Family Services	8,495
School	5,747
Juvenile Court Personnel	3,717
Parent	3,566
Other Juvenile Court	2,679
Other Relative	575
Victim or Self Referral	480
Social Agency - Private	209
Social Agency - Public	87
Department of Mental Health	15
Other	613
Miscode	146
Total	84,131

Referral Sources

Referrals to the Missouri juvenile court system can come from many sources. In 1996, 69 percent of all referrals were initiated by law enforcement. As in the past, more than half of the abuse, neglect and custody referrals were initiated by the Department of Social Services' Division of Family Services (DFS). Status offense referrals were more likely to be made by schools or municipal police. The juvenile courts and parents were also the initiators of large numbers of referrals. Table 3 shows the source of all referrals to the Missouri juvenile courts.

Most Common Major Allegations


Chart 3. Most Common Major Allegation: Male



Charts 3 and 4 illustrate the most common major allegations, by gender, for 1996 referrals. Although status violation is the top category with the largest individual number of referrals, males are more likely to be referred for a law violation than any other referral. Stealing, property damage, and assault are in the top five reasons for referral.

Females are most likely to be referred to the juvenile courts for a status violation. Abuse, neglect and custody referrals is the next major category. The number of female referrals for law violations of any kind is much lower both as a percentage of total female referrals and as compared to male referrals.

Chart 4. Most Common Major Allegation: Female



* "All Others" includes other law violations not mentioned on this graph as well as administrative violations.

Disposition of Referrals

After a youth has been referred to a juvenile court, a formal judgement or informal decision is made about how to handle that case. The possible determinations or outcomes fall into two categories:

1.) those that are handled **formally** with a petition to the court, and 2.) those that are **informally** adjusted outside of the court.

The **formal** dispositions that can occur are:

True, Out-of-Home:	The allegation is found to be true and the youth is placed out of his or her home. The placement may be with the Division of Youth Services, a foster home, a relative, or some other agency.
True, In-Home:	The allegation is found to be true and the youth receives services in his or her own home.
True, No Services:	The allegation is found to be true, but the youth receives no services.
Not True:	The allegation is found to be not true.
Motion to Dismiss:	The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case is closed.
Dismiss for Certification:	The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case is transferred to an adult criminal court to be processed under the criminal code.

The **informal** dispositions that can occur are:

Adjust without Supervision:	No more than one face-to-face contact is made between court personnel and the juvenile. This usually results in the giving of advice, counsel or a verbal warning.
Adjust with Supervision:	Either a verbal or written agreement is made to put the juvenile under court supervision. This usually includes some sort of restitution or community service.
Adjust - No Action:	No action is taken by the juvenile officer other than the collection of information.
Transfer to Other Juvenile Court:	Jurisdiction over the juvenile is transferred to another juvenile court for disposition.
Transfer to Other Agency:	The youth's case (records, files, etc.) is turned over to another agency for disposition.
Referral Rejected:	No reason is found to sustain the referral.

Disposition of Referrals (cont.)

The dispositions of 1996 referrals to Missouri juvenile courts are shown in Chart 5. Over three-quarters (78 percent) of all referrals were disposed of informally.

Charts 6 and 7 show the outcomes of formally and informally disposed referrals. "W/O Supervision" was the finding for the largest number of referrals.

Formal dispositions accounted for only 22 percent of all referral outcomes, but some important results can be noted from them. While the percentage of all formal referrals remained about the same from 1995 to 1996, the category of "Certification" rose by 9 percent. Also, referrals found true and placed out-of-home *declined* by just under three percent.

Chart 5. Disposition of Referrals




Chart 6. Outcomes of Formally Disposed Referrals





Chart 7. Outcomes of Informally Disposed Referrals





Disposition of Referrals (cont.)

A total of 6,322 referrals were placed out-of-home in 1996. Abuse, neglect and custody referrals made up 55 percent of all out-of-home placements. Law violation referrals accounted for 32 percent and status violation referrals made up 13 percent.

Forty-nine percent of all out-of-home placements were made to DFS. Another 22 percent of these placements were made to DYS. Frequently, youths were placed with relatives, court residential care, or some other private agency. Chart 8 shows the distribution of out-of-home placements.

In-home services were provided for 10,431 referrals in 1996. However, because more than one provider can be listed for each referral, there were 20,122 provider referrals. In-home services can be ordered by the court or provided informally by court personnel. Eighty-seven percent of in-home service cases were supervised by juvenile court personnel. (Chart 9.)



Certifications to Adult Court

In 1996, there were 391 referrals dismissed from the juvenile courts to allow certification to adult court. Table 4 shows the number of dismissed referrals by age and race.

Table 4. Referrals Certified to Adult Court - Age by Race

	White	Black	Other	Total
Age 13	1	2	0	3
Age 14	5	5	0	10
Age 15	26	40	2	68
Age 16	90	124	0	214
Age 17	45	45	0	90
Age 18	5	1	0	6
Total	172	217	2	391

Pre-Hearing Placements

At the time of a referral, a juvenile may be detained awaiting a determination. Two types of facilities are used for detention: secure and non-secure. During 1996, 12,174 referrals were detained prior to hearing. Males made up almost 65 percent of all placements, and males were more likely to be detained in a secure facility. A breakdown of all detainees by category and gender is provided in Table 5.

Table 5. Pre-Hearing Placements by Referral Type and Gender

	Secure		Non-Secure		Both	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Law Violation	3,810	692	325	85	96	46
Status	412	276	271	297	25	28
Abuse, Neglect, Custody	26	18	2,587	2,675	7	11
Administrative	335	65	64	18	3	2
Total	4,583	1,051	3,247	3,075	131	87

There are several types of facilities in which non-secure placements may be held: Foster Home, Group Home, Group Foster Home, Institution or Facility, Relative, and Other. In 1996, the largest portion of these placements were made to a foster home (67 percent). Detention with a relative was added as a new category on the 1992 juvenile information form. This category accounted for eight percent of non-secure placements in 1996. Chart 10 details the percentage of placements into the different categories.

Chart 11 shows the operators of the non-secure facilities. The operators of a facility can be: DFS, the Department of Mental Health (DMH), Juvenile Court, Local Government, Private Agency, Relative, or Other. DFS was the operator of the facilities in 67 percent of the disposed referrals that had a non-secure placement.

Chart 10. Non-Secure Pre-Hearing Placements: Type of Facility





Chart 11. Non-Secure Pre-Hearing Placements: Operator of Facility



LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS

Law violation referrals made up 55 percent of all referrals to the juvenile court system in 1996. Law violations represent a single delinquent act even though several offenses may have been committed at the same time by the child referred. The most serious violation that resulted in a final disposition is recorded as the major allegation for the referral.

Law Violation Outcomes

Chart 12 depicts the outcomes of all law referrals. Informal adjustment without supervision accounted for 27 percent of all outcomes. Informal adjustments accounted for 80 percent of law violation referrals.

Chart 12. Outcomes of Law Violation Referrals




Table 6. Average Prior Law Violations for Certain Offense Categories

	Number of Cases	Average Prior Law Violations
FLIGHT/ESCAPE	105	6.87
OBSTRUCT JUDICIAL PROCESS	231	6.27
ROBBERY	516	4.58
KIDNAPPING	13	3.46
OBSTRUCT POLICE	365	3.36
GAMBLING	20	3.35
STOLEN PROPERTY	553	3.28
BURGLARY	2,433	3.25
FORGERY	190	2.91
PROPERTY DAMAGE	8,553	2.68
PEACE DISTURBANCE	1,953	2.50
DANGEROUS DRUGS	3,172	2.47

Prior Violations

The average number of prior law violations per referral varies greatly among different violations. Referrals for Flight/Escapes, Obstruct Judicial Process and Robbery are shown to have some of the highest incidences of prior allegations. (Table 6.)

Age Distribution of Law Violators

Nearly half (23,168) of all the law violation referrals in 1996 were 15 and 16 year old youths. This age group was most likely to be referred for stealing, property damage, or assault. This same pattern can be seen among each of the age groups. (Table 7.)


Table 7. Law Violation Referrals per Allegation by Age

VIOLATION	AGE GROUP								Miscode	TOTAL
	0-11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18+		
ARSON	116	50	62	74	73	59	16	0	2	452
ASSAULT	1,243	795	1,255	1,740	1,952	2,230	397	18	1	9,631
BURGLARY	275	144	297	434	539	615	125	3	1	2,433
CONSERVATION	2	2	4	9	10	49	6	0	1	83
DANGEROUS DRUGS	38	73	219	463	744	1,335	292	7	1	3,172
DRIVERS LICENSE/VEHICLES	9	17	43	113	197	43	4	0	0	426
FAMILY OFFENSES	15	10	16	23	25	25	7	0	0	121
FLIGHT/ESCAPE	3	1	8	15	34	38	5	1	0	105
FORGERY	3	3	13	26	49	66	30	0	0	190
FRAUD	2	2	6	9	20	52	21	0	0	112
GAMBLING	0	0	2	2	8	7	1	0	0	20
HAZ. PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE	1	0	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	9
HEALTH AND SAFETY	16	16	25	44	64	73	9	0	0	247
HOMICIDE	1	2	2	8	7	13	3	0	1	37
KIDNAPPING	1	0	1	1	4	5	1	0	0	13
LIQUOR LAWS	15	14	51	161	347	894	183	6	1	1,672
LOCAL CHARGES (INCLUDES TRAFFIC)	21	23	47	98	135	162	12	0	0	498
MISC. (INCLUDES DWI)	1	0	0	2	7	12	1	0	0	23
MUNICIPAL	17	2	6	11	30	18	5	0	0	89
OBSCENITY	2	1	1	1	4	1	0	0	0	10
OBSTRUCT JUDICIAL PROCESS	7	8	12	26	67	80	30	1	0	231
OBSTRUCT POLICE	27	17	26	47	95	117	35	1	0	365
OTHER NON-HAZERDOUS	0	0	0	8	8	22	3	0	0	41
PEACE DISTURBANCE	167	145	272	363	429	522	74	2	1	1,975
PROPERTY DAMAGE	1,107	554	973	1,343	1,797	2,364	403	9	3	8,553
PROSTITUTION	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	0	7
REGISTRATION AND OWNERSHIP	0	0	1	3	2	1	0	0	0	7
ROBBERY	11	29	34	69	129	193	43	7	1	516
SAFETY RESPONSIBILITY	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	4
SEX OFFENSES	143	52	89	89	101	67	21	0	0	562
SEXUAL ASSAULT	56	29	80	71	74	75	28	9	2	424
STEALING	1,071	865	1,612	2,273	2,808	3,360	564	13	3	12,569
STOLEN PROPERTY	18	29	56	83	137	176	52	1	1	553
WATER CRAFT REGULATIONS	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	5
WEAPONS	91	50	112	133	208	284	45	1	0	924
WIRETAP	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
MISCODE	63	14	14	32	46	44	4	0	1	218
TOTAL	4,542	2,947	5,340	7,780	10,157	13,011	2,422	79	20	46,298


STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS

Status Offense Outcomes

More status offense referrals were handled informally than formally. The number of rejected referrals increased from 1995 by 60 percent, while the number that were adjusted informally without supervision decreased. Aside from these, the proportion of each of the outcomes remained about the same as in 1995. (Chart 13.)



Status offenses are those offenses that are not considered violations if committed by adults. In 1996, status offenses accounted for 28 percent of all referrals. The category with the largest number of referrals was "Curfew" which had 6,161. "Absent from Home" was the only category in which the number of female referrals outnumbered male referrals. (Chart 14.)



Status Offense Placements

Pre-hearing placements for status referrals are somewhat rare. Only five and a half percent of 1996 status referrals were detained prior to hearing. Of those juveniles held, 688 (53 percent) were held in a secure facility; 568 (43 percent) were held in a non-secure facility and 53 juveniles (4 percent) were held in both secure and non-secure facilities pending their hearing. Runaways were more likely than other status offenders to be held in a secure setting. (Table 8.)

Table 8. Pre-Hearing Placements: Status Offense Referrals

	Secure		Non-Secure		Both	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Truancy	34	24	9	10	3	5
Absent from Home	171	155	100	143	9	13
Beyond Parental Control	90	48	77	82	9	5
Behavior Injurious to Self	48	24	69	46	2	5
Curfew	46	11	7	8	0	0
Other Status	23	14	9	8	2	0
Total	412	276	271	297	25	28

Table 9. Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals

DFS	310
DYS	179
COURT RES. CARE	175
PRIVATE AGENCY	60
RELATIVE	48
OTHER	20
SUPERVISION BY COURT	13
PUBLIC AGENCY	9
DMH	9
TOTAL	823

In 1996, 823 juveniles who were referred for status offenses were placed out-of-home: thirty-eight percent of those were put under DFS care; DYS accepted another 22 percent; twenty-one percent were placed into juvenile court residential care; seven percent were placed with a private agency. The rest were placed elsewhere, including with a relative, DMH, a public agency or court probation. (Table 9.)

ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS

The abuse, neglect and custody category encompasses a wide range of referral types. Children can be referred because of physical abuse, abandonment, or because they are involved in a custody dispute. A breakdown of all the abuse, neglect and custody referrals is listed in Table 10.

Table 10. Referrals by Category

<u>ABUSE</u>		<u>NEGLECT</u>		<u>CUSTODY</u>	
PHYSICAL	1,824	IMPROPER CARE	4,939	PROTECTIVE	1,234
SEXUAL	1,137	OTHER	1,254	TERMINATION	720
EMOTIONAL	122	EDUCATIONAL	800	RELIEF	354
INCEST	141	ABANDONMENT	159	TRANSFER	239
		MEDICAL/SURG.	162	ABDUCTION	12
(Miscode)	7				

Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes

Abuse, neglect and custody referrals were most likely to be handled formally by the courts. "True, Out-of-Home" was the most reported outcome category. Chart 15 shows the distribution of outcomes.

Chart 15. Outcomes of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals




Table 11. Age Group of Victim

	<u>ABUSE</u>	<u>NEGLECT</u>	<u>CUSTODY</u>
0 - 3 Years	541	2,162	792
4 - 7 Years	796	1,753	610
8 - 11 Years	716	1,543	399
12 - 15 Years	923	1,433	508
16 and over	247	417	252
Total	3,223	7,308	2,561
(Miscode)	12		

Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals

Younger children were more likely to be referred for neglect and custody cases. Teenagers, ages 12 through 15, were more likely than younger children to be alleged abuse victims. (Table 11.)

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK	13	15	0	0	0	0	199	125	0	8	41	0	0	401
	SCHUYLER	13	16	0	0	1	0	40	17	9	3	20	6	0	125
	SCOTLAND	9	15	0	0	0	0	46	57	1	1	11	1	0	141
2	ADAIR	28	58	3	20	3	0	219	79	29	22	124	16	6	607
	KNOX	12	31	0	17	1	0	99	19	18	0	17	0	0	214
	LEWIS	26	40	0	48	3	0	133	95	38	4	100	1	1	489
3	GRUNDY	28	31	0	0	7	1	19	12	12	11	12	18	0	151
	HARRISON	23	36	0	0	16	3	16	10	5	21	16	11	0	157
	MERCER	4	6	0	0	8	1	2	1	4	3	4	3	0	36
	PUTNAM	5	17	0	0	4	0	8	1	1	6	1	5	0	48
4	ATCHISON	3	1	0	0	1	0	16	17	4	4	0	16	0	62
	GENTRY	3	4	0	0	0	0	16	29	2	4	0	3	0	61
	HOLT	5	9	0	0	2	0	16	22	4	5	0	8	0	71
	NODAWAY	15	33	0	0	1	1	90	108	21	5	0	40	0	314
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	7	2	0	0	1	0	22
5	ANDREW	1	4	0	0	3	0	41	48	0	0	27	6	0	130
	BUCHANAN	146	141	2	1	28	1	845	503	2	25	86	95	0	1,875
6	PLATTE	30	32	1	2	8	2	74	188	28	25	17	18	1	426
7	CLAY	149	118	14	13	31	9	506	237	313	196	60	12	0	1,658
8	CARROLL	7	11	0	0	0	0	52	13	1	8	1	1	0	94
	RAY	44	41	0	1	2	5	256	100	6	25	10	26	1	517
9	CHARITON	34	21	0	1	1	0	22	91	0	16	14	38	0	238
	LINN	12	18	2	2	0	0	21	27	9	3	1	0	0	95
	SULLIVAN	15	7	0	0	6	1	14	8	8	9	13	0	0	81
10	MARION	40	54	3	1	4	6	57	192	137	35	35	76	0	640
	MONROE	8	4	3	0	0	0	27	38	2	4	6	12	0	104
	RALLS	13	3	0	0	0	1	5	8	32	2	5	3	0	72
11	ST CHARLES	134	320	4	0	22	20	560	251	453	317	44	778	5	2,908
12	AUDRAIN	41	7	0	0	4	0	99	140	81	17	107	17	0	513
	MONTGOMERY	20	15	0	0	8	0	83	85	27	14	59	9	0	320
	WARREN	38	39	0	1	11	2	221	149	26	44	28	5	2	566
13	BOONE	321	678	1	4	253	4	569	640	0	167	273	368	0	3,278
	CALLAWAY	60	71	1	3	24	1	62	187	0	43	93	97	0	642
14	HOWARD	13	17	1	1	6	0	11	2	33	1	3	6	0	94
	RANDOLPH	49	67	1	1	16	2	97	102	152	9	17	25	0	538
15	LAFAYETTE	18	8	0	0	0	0	125	13	58	26	37	78	0	363
	SALINE	11	0	0	0	0	0	105	2	12	15	16	33	0	194
16	JACKSON	586	894	142	1,056	141	68	878	995	1,016	0	2,047	1,051	0	8,874
17	CASS	26	61	0	1	11	3	246	328	232	32	25	12	5	982
	JOHNSON	6	17	0	3	7	0	107	164	96	1	1	7	2	411
18	COOPER	18	10	0	0	6	2	77	67	92	20	15	22	1	330
	PETTIS	28	6	0	0	0	0	256	34	80	33	71	37	4	549
19	COLE	109	122	0	1	40	2	57	172	111	91	30	308	0	1,043
20	FRANKLIN	95	51	0	1	37	2	647	435	19	35	40	68	0	1,430
	GASCONADE	4	5	0	0	10	0	59	44	9	0	9	2	0	142
	OSAGE	2	0	0	0	3	0	14	9	0	4	3	0	0	35
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1,046	1,764	33	28	521	81	3,287	548	1,121	2,490	21	5,107	0	16,047
22	ST LOUIS CITY	1,153	592	0	47	711	63	3,464	0	507	189	1	5,437	0	12,164
23	JEFFERSON	190	299	2	0	144	3	494	108	587	109	29	3	0	1,968
24	MADISON	8	18	0	0	3	0	74	13	102	8	4	9	0	239
	ST FRANCOIS	47	67	0	0	31	5	413	32	393	88	22	64	1	1,163
	STE GENEVIEVE	27	44	0	0	2	2	74	16	77	17	8	1	2	270
	WASHINGTON	7	18	0	0	2	0	120	14	23	2	1	31	0	218
25	MARIES	3	3	0	0	0	0	19	38	2	4	0	0	0	69
	PHELPS	50	37	4	2	0	2	110	121	76	45	47	13	0	507
	PULASKI	49	60	4	0	20	2	116	120	30	20	50	85	0	556
	TEXAS	56	36	1	0	3	0	131	58	58	28	136	5	0	512

Appendix A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County (cont.)

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN	17	12	1	0	0	4	138	36	0	32	18	14	0	272
	LACLEDE	27	35	0	0	1	5	137	127	19	13	21	34	0	419
	MILLER	20	23	0	0	0	0	251	9	16	31	35	1	0	386
	MONITEAU	3	14	0	0	2	0	69	10	10	6	9	1	1	125
	MORGAN	23	11	0	1	3	1	84	15	46	5	40	2	0	231
27	BATES	4	4	0	0	1	0	18	18	1	3	7	3	0	59
	HENRY	1	4	0	0	2	0	38	9	5	0	3	2	0	64
	ST CLAIR	0	1	0	0	0	0	18	9	5	0	0	2	0	35
28	BARTON	21	24	1	2	7	1	58	9	0	2	3	0	2	130
	CEDAR	22	45	1	0	17	0	82	21	8	4	15	8	1	224
	DADE	2	17	0	1	1	0	25	7	0	1	2	2	0	58
	VERNON	57	122	2	1	8	0	282	54	13	2	27	8	3	579
29	JASPER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	BENTON	0	2	0	0	0	1	33	17	0	3	10	4	0	70
	DALLAS	1	3	0	0	1	0	38	36	4	8	10	11	0	112
	HICKORY	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	0	0	5	1	0	23
	POLK	3	6	0	4	0	2	35	14	10	0	3	3	0	80
	WEBSTER	8	4	0	0	3	4	33	20	3	2	20	17	0	114
31	GREENE	206	141	1	0	10	6	438	772	286	234	148	805	2	3,049
32	BOLLINGER	9	10	0	0	5	0	14	8	10	0	4	8	0	68
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	64	64	0	2	28	8	463	147	126	89	83	107	0	1,181
	PERRY	11	40	0	0	14	4	117	52	19	7	15	1	0	280
33	MISSISSIPPI	64	142	10	1	7	19	58	17	232	1	63	8	0	622
	SCOTT	7	41	0	0	5	1	204	86	69	38	29	130	0	610
34	NEW MADRID	16	5	0	1	0	6	58	49	13	12	21	8	0	189
	PEMISCOT	4	2	0	0	0	0	21	16	1	0	0	1	0	45
35	DUNKLIN	22	47	2	1	12	8	13	64	254	44	36	59	0	562
	STODDARD	60	20	0	1	9	0	39	80	100	33	72	22	4	440
36	BUTLER	17	37	0	0	13	1	548	174	124	64	1	0	0	979
	RIPLEY	12	0	0	0	0	0	81	100	3	0	17	0	0	213
37	CARTER	11	0	0	1	0	0	25	12	0	2	3	3	1	58
	HOWELL	31	17	0	0	25	0	287	60	7	16	47	33	0	523
	OREGON	3	2	0	0	1	0	16	1	3	4	3	1	0	34
	SHANNON	5	1	0	0	1	0	16	6	1	0	5	6	0	41
38	CHRISTIAN	62	27	0	3	30	0	117	122	113	37	17	42	0	570
	TANEY	44	30	3	0	9	0	16	137	222	21	59	111	1	653
39	BARRY	20	24	0	0	0	3	152	89	63	13	19	15	1	399
	LAWRENCE	19	18	1	0	8	0	183	96	37	3	12	15	4	396
	STONE	7	12	0	0	0	0	89	30	15	9	6	10	2	180
40	MCDONALD	54	9	39	1	0	0	71	37	16	8	8	11	0	254
	NEWTON	113	27	68	1	4	1	238	80	85	22	26	43	1	709
41	MACON	45	21	7	1	5	1	95	143	2	18	38	80	0	456
	SHELBY	17	10	0	0	0	0	20	49	0	5	18	36	0	155
42	CRAWFORD	76	24	0	1	0	2	108	246	20	51	1	0	0	529
	DENT	4	2	0	0	0	0	7	30	4	2	6	5	0	60
	IRON	10	3	0	0	4	1	11	62	0	6	5	5	0	107
	REYNOLDS	2	1	0	2	2	0	8	13	1	0	0	1	0	30
	WAYNE	18	2	0	0	25	2	18	11	5	5	0	0	0	86
43	CALDWELL	5	4	0	0	0	0	21	23	1	1	8	0	0	63
	CLINTON	1	1	0	1	1	0	54	39	8	0	0	1	1	107
	DAVISS	6	1	0	0	0	3	25	12	7	7	0	0	0	61
	DE KALB	0	2	1	0	0	0	14	5	1	1	0	1	0	25
	LIVINGSTON	10	18	0	0	4	1	82	41	10	11	4	1	0	182
44	DOUGLAS	16	24	4	0	3	1	62	13	41	4	6	0	0	174
	OZARK	9	14	0	0	5	0	17	1	17	7	3	0	0	73
	WRIGHT	29	29	2	0	4	1	83	30	66	15	16	1	0	276
45	LINCOLN	72	64	1	4	9	7	326	22	38	21	4	35	1	604
	PIKE	16	30	0	0	6	1	45	66	30	4	9	3	3	213
	MISCODE	11	12	0	0	1	0	41	27	17	12	11	8	0	140
Total		6,322	7,397	366	1,285	2,463	391	21,071	10,424	8,368	5,253	4,909	15,823	59	84,131

Note: Total = Law+Status+A/N/C+Administrative. Although it is included in this total, there is no separate appendix for the Administrative category.

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK	0	9	0	0	0	0	39	36	0	8	0	0	0	92
	SCHUYLER	3	3	0	0	1	0	12	7	0	1	0	0	0	27
	SCOTLAND	2	8	0	0	0	0	8	10	1	1	0	0	0	30
2	ADAIR	6	29	2	16	0	0	92	14	16	15	1	16	1	208
	KNOX	1	15	0	14	1	0	86	9	15	0	1	0	0	142
	LEWIS	1	26	0	16	0	0	73	30	22	3	7	0	1	179
3	GRUNDY	3	26	0	0	1	1	16	12	12	9	1	16	0	97
	HARRISON	3	36	0	0	1	3	14	7	4	10	2	11	0	91
	MERCER	0	6	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	15
	PUTNAM	1	17	0	0	1	0	8	1	1	3	0	4	0	36
4	ATCHISON	2	1	0	0	0	0	7	16	1	2	0	16	0	45
	GENTRY	1	3	0	0	0	0	6	18	2	4	0	3	0	37
	HOLT	4	9	0	0	0	0	6	20	4	4	0	8	0	55
	NODAWAY	3	27	0	0	0	1	48	93	14	4	0	40	0	230
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	2	0	0	1	0	13
5	ANDREW	1	2	0	0	0	0	23	43	0	0	0	5	0	74
	BUCHANAN	48	101	2	1	10	1	322	310	0	16	1	82	0	894
6	PLATTE	11	28	1	2	5	2	61	166	22	23	10	13	1	345
7	CLAY	71	62	1	7	9	9	465	206	189	178	26	8	0	1,231
8	CARROLL	3	11	0	0	0	0	40	8	0	8	0	1	0	71
	RAY	23	33	0	1	2	5	152	37	5	21	5	21	0	305
9	CHARITON	3	9	0	0	1	0	11	53	0	13	0	5	0	95
	LINN	3	15	2	2	0	0	15	26	6	3	0	0	0	72
	SULLIVAN	1	3	0	0	1	1	10	5	4	3	1	0	0	29
10	MARION	21	38	1	1	2	6	43	139	76	24	15	59	0	425
	MONROE	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	33	1	3	1	6	0	56
	RALLS	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	5	20	1	0	2	0	34
11	ST CHARLES	85	235	4	0	10	20	402	199	34	222	15	613	4	1,843
12	AUDRAIN	24	5	0	0	1	0	48	100	48	11	17	11	0	265
	MONTGOMERY	4	8	0	0	1	0	43	65	14	8	1	8	0	152
	WARREN	28	25	0	1	4	2	48	86	9	30	17	0	1	251
13	BOONE	97	400	0	4	107	4	171	473	0	131	67	210	0	1,664
	CALLAWAY	16	34	0	1	7	1	21	104	0	30	35	39	0	288
14	HOWARD	3	11	1	0	3	0	8	1	28	1	3	5	0	64
	RANDOLPH	12	43	1	0	3	2	68	81	101	6	8	23	0	348
15	LAFAYETTE	1	8	0	0	0	0	94	12	30	21	8	69	0	243
	SALINE	3	0	0	0	0	0	82	1	7	13	6	20	0	132
16	JACKSON	224	455	6	656	66	68	825	692	514	0	153	834	0	4,493
17	CASS	7	28	0	1	0	3	140	203	117	17	6	9	1	532
	JOHNSON	3	12	0	0	5	0	68	110	53	0	0	5	2	258
18	COOPER	7	7	0	0	5	2	55	55	34	17	1	20	0	203
	PETTIS	19	5	0	0	0	0	164	25	36	13	28	32	1	323
19	COLE	34	77	0	1	22	2	52	112	82	75	9	134	0	600
20	FRANKLIN	33	41	0	1	23	2	411	356	10	28	7	42	0	954
	GASCONADE	0	4	0	0	4	0	33	25	6	0	1	1	0	74
	OSAGE	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	0	4	0	0	0	20
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	292	998	17	26	304	81	1,788	511	102	1,797	15	2,966	0	8,897
22	ST LOUIS CITY	219	477	0	7	322	63	1,619	0	234	142	0	2,284	0	5,367
23	JEFFERSON	62	224	2	0	88	3	413	74	251	92	21	0	0	1,230
24	MADISON	6	16	0	0	2	0	46	9	52	6	3	9	0	149
	ST FRANCOIS	20	50	0	0	10	5	294	23	95	82	13	47	1	640
	STE GENEVIEVE	18	30	0	0	2	2	51	5	34	15	4	1	0	162
	WASHINGTON	3	17	0	0	0	0	52	12	9	2	0	18	0	113
25	MARIES	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	11	0	3	0	0	0	23
	PHELPS	8	16	0	0	0	2	44	98	53	30	8	10	0	269
	PULASKI	9	20	0	0	4	2	69	97	3	13	17	63	0	297
	TEXAS	16	24	0	0	0	0	85	43	27	18	16	2	0	231

Appendix B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County (cont.)

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Certification	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN	10	10	1	0	0	4	69	34	0	27	8	12	0	175
	LACLEDE	12	21	0	0	1	5	62	98	10	8	11	32	0	260
	MILLER	6	22	0	0	0	0	138	3	11	19	7	1	0	207
	MONITEAU	2	14	0	0	0	0	41	9	5	4	1	1	1	78
	MORGAN	7	10	0	1	1	1	50	7	17	3	3	1	0	101
27	BATES	3	3	0	0	0	0	11	11	0	3	3	2	0	36
	HENRY	0	2	0	0	1	0	28	8	2	0	0	2	0	43
	ST CLAIR	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	7	3	0	0	2	0	19
28	BARTON	8	15	1	1	0	1	24	4	0	0	0	0	1	55
	CEDAR	11	29	0	0	7	0	59	10	3	2	1	4	0	126
	DADE	0	13	0	1	0	0	20	4	0	1	2	2	0	43
	VERNON	17	76	0	1	3	0	165	26	6	1	9	4	1	309
29	JASPER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	BENTON	0	2	0	0	0	1	25	3	0	1	0	0	0	32
	DALLAS	1	3	0	0	0	0	25	21	1	8	0	1	0	60
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	8
	POLK	0	6	0	2	0	2	28	9	2	0	1	1	0	51
	WEBSTER	3	4	0	0	2	4	32	19	0	2	4	7	0	77
31	GREENE	60	118	0	0	6	6	368	627	166	205	68	506	1	2,131
32	BOLLINGER	2	10	0	0	0	0	12	4	8	0	1	7	0	44
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	35	58	0	2	10	8	302	112	73	74	54	91	0	819
	PERRY	3	35	0	0	7	4	56	36	8	3	7	1	0	160
33	MISSISSIPPI	25	105	0	1	5	19	37	9	132	0	15	6	0	354
	SCOTT	7	37	0	0	5	1	130	71	49	28	15	118	0	461
34	NEW MADRID	13	3	0	0	0	6	30	44	10	11	9	8	0	134
	PEMISCOT	2	2	0	0	0	0	19	9	1	0	0	0	0	33
35	DUNKLIN	16	43	0	1	12	8	12	50	213	43	25	53	0	476
	STODDARD	7	9	0	1	4	0	29	39	72	15	15	10	4	205
36	BUTLER	1	27	0	0	3	1	390	30	79	39	1	0	0	571
	RIPLEY	2	0	0	0	0	0	36	83	0	0	2	0	0	123
37	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	11	0	1	2	0	0	30
	HOWELL	6	4	0	0	6	0	126	41	4	11	18	15	0	231
	OREGON	0	2	0	0	1	0	8	0	1	4	0	0	0	16
	SHANNON	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	5	0	0	0	3	0	15
38	CHRISTIAN	11	24	0	3	7	0	71	118	88	29	4	42	0	397
	TANEY	11	21	0	0	2	0	15	94	153	16	7	74	1	394
39	BARRY	4	13	0	0	0	3	107	57	41	4	6	9	1	245
	LAWRENCE	5	9	1	0	3	0	136	61	29	3	5	7	2	261
	STONE	3	8	0	0	0	0	68	19	11	6	1	7	1	124
40	MCDONALD	7	4	0	1	0	0	50	29	9	5	3	10	0	118
	NEWTON	21	14	0	0	0	1	162	58	53	11	8	29	0	357
41	MACON	17	9	2	1	3	1	51	61	1	16	5	25	0	192
	SHELBY	1	6	0	0	0	0	8	19	0	4	0	4	0	42
42	CRAWFORD	36	1	0	0	0	2	76	133	14	40	1	0	0	303
	DENT	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	27	4	2	1	5	0	49
	IRON	7	2	0	0	2	1	6	49	0	5	2	5	0	79
	REYNOLDS	1	1	0	1	2	0	4	13	0	0	0	1	0	23
	WAYNE	11	2	0	0	2	2	17	6	5	5	0	0	0	50
43	CALDWELL	3	3	0	0	0	0	16	7	0	1	0	0	0	30
	CLINTON	0	1	0	1	0	0	44	24	4	0	0	0	0	74
	DAVISS	3	1	0	0	0	3	20	10	6	7	0	0	0	50
	DE KALB	0	2	1	0	0	0	8	4	1	0	0	0	0	16
	LIVINGSTON	2	13	0	0	0	1	50	23	6	6	0	1	0	102
44	DOUGLAS	2	23	2	0	2	1	41	12	30	3	2	0	0	118
	OZARK	2	14	0	0	2	0	0	0	8	5	0	0	0	31
	WRIGHT	2	26	1	0	2	1	32	27	30	11	0	1	0	133
45	LINCOLN	17	45	0	4	4	7	201	18	30	14	1	34	1	376
	PIKE	9	28	0	0	5	1	28	42	18	3	5	2	2	143
	MISCODE	6	11	0	0	1	0	29	21	10	9	3	6	0	96
Total		1,912	4,759	49	780	1,141	391	12,396	7,279	3,829	3,904	886	8,944	29	46,299

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK	5	2	0	0	0	158	89	0	0	1	0	0	255
	SCHUYLER	1	7	0	0	0	22	9	5	2	0	2	0	48
	SCOTLAND	4	3	0	0	0	38	47	0	0	0	0	0	92
2	ADAIR	6	12	1	0	0	126	65	7	5	11	0	3	236
	KNOX	10	8	0	0	0	7	9	2	0	2	0	0	38
	LEWIS	12	2	0	2	0	51	59	11	0	26	1	0	164
3	GRUNDY	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	8	0	0	15
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	8	12	0	0	26
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	4
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	5
4	ATCHISON	1	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	11
	GENTRY	1	1	0	0	0	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	23
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	0	1	0	0	0	12
	NODAWAY	4	4	0	0	0	38	15	1	1	0	0	0	63
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
5	ANDREW	0	2	0	0	1	14	5	0	0	8	0	0	30
	BUCHANAN	36	25	0	0	2	472	193	2	8	7	3	0	748
6	PLATTE	3	1	0	0	1	12	20	4	2	0	0	0	43
7	CLAY	13	14	0	0	1	40	31	79	13	4	3	0	198
8	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	0	12	5	1	0	1	0	0	19
	RAY	17	3	0	0	0	84	34	1	3	0	2	1	145
9	CHARITON	8	10	0	0	0	11	38	0	2	1	0	0	70
	LINN	3	2	0	0	0	6	1	3	0	1	0	0	16
	SULLIVAN	2	1	0	0	0	4	3	3	6	2	0	0	21
10	MARION	13	12	0	0	0	14	53	56	9	14	16	0	187
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	0	16	5	1	0	0	5	0	27
	RALLS	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	11	1	2	1	0	21
11	ST CHARLES	44	78	0	0	3	158	52	418	89	29	161	1	1,033
12	AUDRAIN	5	1	0	0	0	41	40	20	4	6	1	0	118
	MONTGOMERY	3	1	0	0	2	29	16	4	5	2	1	0	63
	WARREN	7	4	0	0	1	38	45	14	12	3	3	0	127
13	BOONE	83	165	0	0	23	214	162	0	34	85	138	0	904
	CALLAWAY	15	17	0	0	11	26	83	0	13	20	34	0	219
14	HOWARD	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	12
	RANDOLPH	7	6	0	0	4	20	17	31	2	3	2	0	92
15	LAFAYETTE	4	0	0	0	0	31	1	26	5	21	9	0	97
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	0	23	1	3	2	9	13	0	51
16	JACKSON	128	61	18	176	23	49	303	486	0	187	116	0	1,547
17	CASS	17	31	0	0	4	96	120	102	13	17	1	4	405
	JOHNSON	1	5	0	0	0	35	37	31	0	1	0	0	110
18	COOPER	5	0	0	0	1	19	12	55	3	5	2	1	103
	PETTIS	7	1	0	0	0	91	8	37	20	35	5	3	207
19	COLE	19	29	0	0	12	4	60	27	15	11	147	0	324
20	FRANKLIN	5	7	0	0	4	226	69	9	7	8	25	0	360
	GASCONADE	0	1	0	0	0	25	5	3	0	2	1	0	37
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	91	281	6	1	55	1,282	35	1,019	636	5	1,670	0	5,081
22	ST LOUIS CITY	38	57	0	2	41	1,396	0	142	24	0	2,895	0	4,595
23	JEFFERSON	25	52	0	0	30	80	34	333	16	7	0	0	577
24	MADISON	1	1	0	0	0	28	4	18	0	1	0	0	53
	ST FRANCOIS	1	5	0	0	7	113	7	97	4	9	17	0	260
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	9	0	0	0	22	11	2	2	4	0	0	55
	WASHINGTON	0	1	0	0	0	68	2	11	0	1	11	0	94
25	MARIES	1	0	0	0	0	10	4	1	1	0	0	0	17
	PHELPS	3	5	1	0	0	63	15	18	10	15	2	0	132
	PULASKI	4	9	1	0	4	47	22	24	7	14	13	0	145
	TEXAS	10	6	0	0	0	41	12	26	5	66	2	0	168

Appendix C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County (cont.)

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN	0	2	0	0	0	59	2	0	4	4	0	0	71
	LACLEDE	9	8	0	0	0	71	27	8	5	8	2	0	138
	MILLER	4	1	0	0	0	108	3	4	10	5	0	0	135
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	0	27	1	5	2	5	0	0	40
	MORGAN	1	1	0	0	1	33	6	20	2	21	1	0	86
27	BATES	1	1	0	0	1	7	7	0	0	4	1	0	22
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	1	8	1	2	0	2	0	0	14
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	2	0	0	0	0	15
28	BARTON	3	6	0	1	2	28	3	0	0	0	0	1	44
	CEDAR	2	16	1	0	1	21	4	0	2	1	4	0	52
	DADE	0	4	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	13
	VERNON	13	28	0	0	2	112	23	3	1	15	3	2	202
29	JASPER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0**
30	BENTON	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	6	1	0	11
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	0	6	7	2	0	4	2	0	21
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	5
	POLK	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	8	0	2	2	0	19
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	2	7	0	14
31	GREENE	2	7	0	0	0	53	128	66	24	16	74	0	370
32	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	1	0	0	3	160	34	52	13	21	13	0	299
	PERRY	2	4	0	0	1	54	15	10	4	6	0	0	96
33	MISSISSIPPI	1	14	0	0	0	20	3	78	0	7	1	0	124
	SCOTT	0	3	0	0	0	74	15	20	10	11	12	0	145
34	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	0	28	5	2	0	9	0	0	44
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	0	1	0	10
35	DUNKLIN	6	3	0	0	0	1	11	40	1	10	4	0	76
	STODDARD	1	7	0	0	1	10	37	27	11	10	5	0	109
36	BUTLER	1	2	0	0	2	147	10	39	17	0	0	0	218
	RIPLEY	1	0	0	0	0	43	16	1	0	2	0	0	63
37	CARTER	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	8
	HOWELL	3	2	0	0	1	153	18	2	3	14	10	0	206
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	2	0	1	1	0	13
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	1	0	3	0	0	16
38	CHRISTIAN	8	3	0	0	6	45	4	23	8	6	0	0	103
	TANEY	10	6	0	0	3	1	43	58	5	14	27	0	167
39	BARRY	7	9	0	0	0	33	30	14	7	4	4	0	108
	LAWRENCE	3	4	0	0	0	40	33	6	0	1	2	1	90
	STONE	0	2	0	0	0	21	10	1	3	3	2	1	43
40	MCDONALD	2	0	0	0	0	18	8	6	3	3	1	0	41
	NEWTON	11	4	0	0	0	60	17	25	4	16	13	0	150
41	MACON	4	6	5	0	0	42	81	0	2	7	4	0	151
	SHELBY	5	4	0	0	0	12	30	0	1	1	0	0	53
42	CRAWFORD	12	4	0	0	0	29	108	0	7	0	0	0	160
	DENT	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	5	0	0	8
	IRON	0	1	0	0	0	5	13	0	1	0	0	0	20
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
	WAYNE	3	0	0	0	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	11
43	CALDWELL	1	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	2	0	0	11
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	1	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	15
	DAVISS	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	7
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
	LIVINGSTON	0	3	0	0	0	31	7	4	5	4	0	0	54
44	DOUGLAS	2	1	1	0	0	19	1	6	1	1	0	0	32
	OZARK	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	7	1	2	0	0	15
	WRIGHT	0	1	0	0	0	36	3	16	2	9	0	0	67
45	LINCOLN	10	13	1	0	1	125	4	8	4	3	1	0	170
	PIKE	3	2	0	0	1	17	23	11	1	4	1	1	64
	Miscode	1	0	0	0	0	10	2	3	3	4	2	0	25
Total		807	1,123	35	182	263	7,303	2,711	3,738	1,163	949	5,506	19	23,799

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix D: Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
1	CLARK	8	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	40	0	0	54
	SCHUYLER	9	6	0	0	0	6	1	4	0	20	4	0	50
	SCOTLAND	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	0	19
2	ADAIR	16	17	0	4	3	1	0	6	2	112	0	2	163
	KNOX	1	8	0	3	0	6	1	1	0	14	0	0	34
	LEWIS	13	12	0	30	3	9	6	5	1	67	0	0	146
3	GRUNDY	24	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	38
	HARRISON	20	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	40
	MERCER	4	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	17
	PUTNAM	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	6
	GENTRY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	HOLT	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	NODAWAY	8	2	0	0	1	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	21
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	19	1	0	26
	BUCHANAN	62	15	0	0	16	50	0	0	1	78	10	0	232
6	PLATTE	16	3	0	0	2	1	2	2	0	7	5	0	38
7	CLAY	65	42	13	6	21	1	0	45	5	30	1	0	229
8	CARROLL	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	RAY	4	5	0	0	0	20	28	0	1	5	3	0	66
9	CHARITON	21	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	33	0	69
	LINN	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	SULLIVAN	12	3	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	10	0	0	31
10	MARION	6	3	2	0	2	0	0	5	1	6	1	0	26
	MONROE	8	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	0	21
	RALLS	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	17
11	ST CHARLES	4	7	0	0	9	0	0	1	6	0	4	0	31
12	AUDRAIN	12	1	0	0	3	10	0	13	2	84	5	0	130
	MONTGOMERY	13	6	0	0	5	11	4	9	1	56	0	0	105
	WARREN	3	9	0	0	6	135	18	3	2	8	2	1	187
13	BOONE	126	41	1	0	21	184	5	0	2	120	20	0	520
	CALLAWAY	28	14	1	2	5	15	0	0	0	38	24	0	127
14	HOWARD	8	4	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	17
	RANDOLPH	29	17	0	1	9	9	4	20	1	6	0	0	96
15	LAFAYETTE	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	0	0	23
	SALINE	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	10
16	JACKSON	234	378	118	224	52	4	0	16	0	1,707	101	0	2,834
17	CASS	2	2	0	0	7	10	5	13	2	2	2	0	45
	JOHNSON	2	0	0	3	2	4	17	12	1	0	2	0	43
18	COOPER	6	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	9	0	0	24
	PETTIS	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	4	0	0	13
19	COLE	56	16	0	0	6	1	0	2	1	10	27	0	119
20	FRANKLIN	55	2	0	0	10	8	3	0	0	23	1	0	102
	GASCONADE	4	0	0	0	6	1	14	0	0	6	0	0	31
	OSAGE	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	8
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	528	319	7	1	106	181	0	0	56	0	440	0	1,638
22	ST LOUIS CITY	845	33	0	38	310	449	0	110	18	0	248	0	2,051
23	JEFFERSON	102	22	0	0	26	1	0	3	1	1	3	0	159
24	MADISON	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	32	2	0	0	0	36
	ST FRANCOIS	21	12	0	0	11	2	0	201	2	0	0	0	249
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	41	0	0	0	2	53
	WASHINGTON	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	11
25	MARIES	2	0	0	0	0	3	23	1	0	0	0	0	29
	PHELPS	38	14	3	2	0	3	8	5	5	24	1	0	103
	PULASKI	36	30	3	0	12	0	1	3	0	19	9	0	113
	TEXAS	30	5	1	0	3	5	3	5	4	54	1	0	111

Appendix D: Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County (cont.)

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismiss	Adjust W/O Supervision	Adjust W/ Supervision	Adjust No Action	Transfer Other Court	Transfer Other Agency	Rejected	Miscode	Total
26	CAMDEN	6	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	3	2	0	22
	LACLEDE	6	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	13
	MILLER	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	23	0	0	35
	MONITEAU	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	7
	MORGAN	15	0	0	0	1	1	2	9	0	16	0	0	44
27	BATES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	HENRY	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	7
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
28	BARTON	10	3	0	0	5	6	2	0	2	3	0	0	31
	CEDAR	9	0	0	0	9	2	7	5	0	13	0	1	46
	DADE	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	VERNON	27	15	2	0	3	5	5	4	0	2	1	0	64
29	JASPER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	BENTON	0	0	0	0	0	5	13	0	2	4	3	0	27
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	1	7	8	1	0	6	8	0	31
	HICKORY	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	10
	POLK	3	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	10
	WEBSTER	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14	3	0	23
31	GREENE	135	15	1	0	4	17	15	54	4	50	224	1	520
32	BOLLINGER	7	0	0	0	5	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	17
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	25	5	0	0	15	1	0	1	2	8	3	0	60
	PERRY	5	1	0	0	6	7	1	1	0	2	0	0	23
33	MISSISSIPPI	37	20	10	0	2	0	5	19	1	41	1	0	136
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
34	NEW MADRID	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	11
	PEMISCOT	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
35	DUNKLIN	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	2	0	10
	STODDARD	52	4	0	0	4	0	4	1	7	47	7	0	126
36	BUTLER	15	8	0	0	8	11	134	6	8	0	0	0	190
	RIPLEY	9	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	13	0	0	27
37	CARTER	10	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	1	2	1	20
	HOWELL	22	11	0	0	18	8	1	1	2	15	8	0	86
	OREGON	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5
	SHANNON	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	10
38	CHRISTIAN	41	0	0	0	16	1	0	2	0	7	0	0	67
	TANEY	23	3	3	0	4	0	0	11	0	38	10	0	92
39	BARRY	9	1	0	0	0	12	1	8	2	8	2	0	43
	LAWRENCE	11	5	0	0	5	6	2	2	0	6	6	1	44
	STONE	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	1	0	12
40	MCDONALD	45	5	39	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	95
	NEWTON	81	9	68	1	4	16	5	7	7	2	1	1	202
41	MACON	24	6	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	26	51	0	113
	SHELBY	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	32	0	60
42	CRAWFORD	28	19	0	1	0	3	5	6	4	0	0	0	66
	DENT	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	IRON	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	8
	REYNOLDS	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	WAYNE	4	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
43	CALDWELL	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	0	6	0	0	22
	CLINTON	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4	0	0	1	1	18
	DAVISS	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	3
	LIVINGSTON	8	2	0	0	4	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	26
44	DOUGLAS	11	0	0	0	1	2	0	5	0	3	0	0	22
	OZARK	6	0	0	0	3	13	0	0	1	1	0	0	24
	WRIGHT	27	0	0	0	1	12	0	18	2	7	0	0	67
45	LINCOLN	43	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	56
	PIKE	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	5
	Miscode	4	1	0	0	0	2	4	4	0	4	0	0	19
Total		3,363	1,221	277	322	857	1,316	412	772	177	3,045	1,331	11	13,104

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix E: Out-of-Home Placements by Circuit

CIRCUIT	Court		DMH		DFS		DYS		Relative		Private		Public		Other		Miscode		Total
	Res. Care										Res. Care		Agency						
	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	cases	%	
1	1	3%	0	0%	19	54%	4	11%	7	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	11%	35
2	5	8%	0	0%	25	38%	7	11%	17	26%	2	3%	4	6%	0	0%	6	9%	66
3	0	0%	1	2%	53	88%	4	7%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	60
4	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26
5	54	37%	2	1%	61	41%	20	14%	8	5%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	147
6	1	3%	0	0%	18	60%	11	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30
7	1	1%	0	0%	50	34%	68	46%	13	9%	10	7%	0	0%	3	2%	4	3%	149
8	0	0%	0	0%	14	27%	37	73%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	51
9	0	0%	0	0%	44	72%	3	5%	9	15%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	3	5%	61
10	1	2%	0	0%	23	38%	28	46%	9	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	61
11	0	0%	0	0%	15	11%	73	54%	14	10%	21	16%	0	0%	1	1%	10	7%	134
12	4	4%	1	1%	34	34%	42	42%	13	13%	2	2%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	99
13	83	22%	11	3%	187	49%	43	11%	39	10%	17	4%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	381
14	2	3%	0	0%	52	84%	4	6%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	62
15	0	0%	0	0%	25	86%	3	10%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	29
16	250	43%	1	0%	275	47%	46	8%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	9	2%	0	0%	586
17	0	0%	0	0%	14	44%	14	44%	1	3%	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	32
18	0	0%	0	0%	14	30%	28	61%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	46
19	20	18%	1	1%	48	44%	21	19%	19	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	109
20	5	5%	5	5%	58	57%	32	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	101
21	66	6%	2	0%	157	15%	94	9%	262	25%	142	14%	0	0%	216	21%	107	10%	1,046
22	0	0%	0	0%	837	73%	231	20%	54	5%	31	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,153
23	0	0%	0	0%	126	66%	64	34%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	190
24	1	1%	0	0%	33	37%	48	54%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%	4	4%	89
25	0	0%	0	0%	94	59%	27	17%	29	18%	1	1%	0	0%	7	4%	0	0%	158
26	12	13%	0	0%	37	41%	40	44%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	90
27	2	40%	0	0%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5
28	0	0%	0	0%	57	56%	36	35%	5	5%	3	3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	102
29	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
30	0	0%	0	0%	13	81%	3	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16
31	0	0%	0	0%	118	57%	60	29%	0	0%	6	3%	0	0%	2	1%	20	10%	206
32	0	0%	0	0%	31	37%	45	54%	5	6%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	84
33	0	0%	0	0%	19	27%	30	42%	15	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	10%	71
34	0	0%	0	0%	5	25%	15	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20
35	0	0%	1	1%	27	33%	16	20%	31	38%	0	0%	4	5%	2	2%	1	1%	82
36	2	7%	0	0%	10	34%	2	7%	15	52%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	29
37	2	4%	0	0%	34	68%	1	2%	9	18%	2	4%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	50
38	0	0%	0	0%	78	74%	18	17%	8	8%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	106
39	0	0%	0	0%	20	43%	14	30%	1	2%	1	2%	2	4%	6	13%	2	4%	46
40	0	0%	0	0%	79	47%	19	11%	22	13%	35	21%	0	0%	1	1%	11	7%	167
41	0	0%	0	0%	36	58%	3	5%	16	26%	0	0%	7	11%	0	0%	0	0%	62
42	0	0%	1	1%	33	30%	62	56%	12	11%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	110
43	0	0%	1	5%	10	45%	8	36%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22
44	0	0%	0	0%	43	80%	7	13%	4	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	54
45	1	1%	0	0%	52	59%	29	33%	6	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	88
Miscode	0	0%	0	0%	5	45%	4	36%	1	9%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11
Total	513	8%	27	0%	3,011	48%	1,365	22%	656	10%	291	5%	20	0%	255	4%	184	3%	6,322

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix F: In-Home Services by Circuit

CIRCUIT	Supervision by Court		DMH		DFS		DYS		Private Res. Care		Public Agency		Other		Total
	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	number	%	
1	248	51%	0	0%	101	21%	0	0%	137	28%	0	0%	2	0%	488
2	284	88%	0	0%	37	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	321
3	114	89%	7	5%	5	4%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	128
4	230	96%	0	0%	9	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	239
5	695	98%	0	0%	16	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	711
6	220	92%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	1	0%	8	3%	6	3%	238
7	355	91%	0	0%	33	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	388
8	165	77%	1	0%	40	19%	3	1%	4	2%	1	0%	0	0%	214
9	173	82%	2	1%	13	6%	0	0%	8	4%	13	6%	1	0%	210
10	300	95%	0	0%	13	4%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	3	1%	317
11	576	89%	1	0%	7	1%	16	2%	1	0%	2	0%	41	6%	644
12	443	81%	3	1%	62	11%	3	1%	4	1%	3	1%	29	5%	547
13	1507	96%	1	0%	66	4%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	1,576
14	198	64%	0	0%	30	10%	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	77	25%	309
15	25	96%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26
16	1440	76%	0	0%	407	21%	0	0%	57	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1,904
17	574	86%	41	6%	20	3%	0	0%	7	1%	7	1%	19	3%	668
18	117	89%	0	0%	5	4%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	8	6%	131
19	294	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	294
20	544	99%	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	549
21	2312	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2,312
22	592	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	592
23	407	90%	0	0%	34	8%	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	8	2%	452
24	223	91%	0	0%	18	7%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	3	1%	246
25	474	79%	0	0%	90	15%	1	0%	3	1%	5	1%	25	4%	598
26	292	94%	0	0%	9	3%	4	1%	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%	310
27	45	69%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	2	3%	5	8%	11	17%	65
28	301	91%	1	0%	24	7%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	2	1%	331
29	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
30	108	81%	0	0%	22	16%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	3	2%	134
31	1106	80%	0	0%	65	5%	16	1%	2	0%	187	13%	11	1%	1,387
32	321	74%	4	1%	18	4%	12	3%	11	3%	67	15%	3	1%	436
33	228	86%	0	0%	29	11%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	7	3%	265
34	72	63%	3	3%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	32%	114
35	211	97%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	217
36	311	67%	0	0%	146	31%	5	1%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%	464
37	99	88%	0	0%	10	9%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	2	2%	112
38	316	97%	1	0%	7	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	326
39	270	81%	0	0%	7	2%	2	1%	9	3%	3	1%	42	13%	333
40	133	81%	0	0%	17	10%	1	1%	6	4%	1	1%	6	4%	164
41	229	93%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	12	5%	0	0%	246
42	398	72%	98	18%	10	2%	7	1%	4	1%	23	4%	13	2%	553
43	150	75%	2	1%	36	18%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	9	5%	199
44	111	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
45	182	90%	0	0%	10	5%	5	2%	4	2%	0	0%	1	0%	202
Miscode	40	78%	1	2%	6	12%	2	4%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	51
Total	17,433	87%	166	1%	1,441	7%	87	0%	273	1%	351	2%	371	2%	20,122

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix G: Referrals by Type by Circuit and County

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	People	Property	Drug	Peace Disturbance	Status	Miscellaneous	Total
1	CLARK	15	64	0	0	255	67	401
	SCHUYLER	7	10	0	0	48	60	125
	SCOTLAND	1	20	1	0	92	27	141
2	ADAIR	28	102	7	10	236	224	607
	KNOX	97	19	0	2	38	58	214
	LEWIS	74	58	9	13	164	171	489
3	GRUNDY	9	63	9	6	15	49	151
	HARRISON	9	52	4	6	26	60	157
	MERCER	1	8	0	2	4	21	36
	PUTNAM	9	22	0	1	5	11	48
4	ATCHISON	12	17	1	2	11	19	62
	GENTRY	5	18	1	1	23	13	61
	HOLT	5	33	1	1	12	19	71
	NODAWAY	34	117	1	12	63	87	314
	WORTH	2	3	0	3	7	7	22
5	ANDREW	11	38	4	5	30	42	130
	BUCHANAN	253	456	65	32	748	321	1,875
6	PLATTE	65	199	43	8	43	68	426
7	CLAY	287	691	93	67	198	322	1,658
8	CARROLL	7	55	1	0	19	12	94
	RAY	70	138	8	39	145	117	517
9	CHARITON	13	37	0	2	70	116	238
	LINN	8	35	8	1	16	27	95
	SULLIVAN	7	12	3	0	21	38	81
10	MARION	95	204	27	18	187	109	640
	MONROE	6	37	4	1	27	29	104
	RALLS	9	19	0	1	21	22	72
11	ST CHARLES	482	978	160	42	1,033	213	2,908
12	AUDRAIN	69	135	11	10	118	170	513
	MONTGOMERY	20	89	16	5	63	127	320
	WARREN	51	141	18	8	127	221	566
13	BOONE	433	971	107	21	904	842	3,278
	CALLAWAY	83	150	20	4	219	166	642
14	HOWARD	24	24	1	5	12	28	94
	RANDOLPH	100	154	1	30	92	161	538
15	LAFAYETTE	60	109	19	12	97	66	363
	SALINE	21	83	7	4	51	28	194
16	JACKSON	1,288	2,381	458	86	1,547	3,114	8,874
17	CASS	173	226	36	21	405	121	982
	JOHNSON	68	132	15	8	110	78	411
18	COOPER	35	117	7	6	103	62	330
	PETTIS	83	188	13	14	207	44	549
19	COLE	71	390	31	23	324	204	1,043
20	FRANKLIN	179	572	90	8	360	221	1,430
	GASCONADE	11	42	4	2	37	46	142
	OSAGE	2	5	1	0	7	20	35
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	2,054	4,713	615	338	5,082	3,245	16,047
22	ST LOUIS CITY	1,502	2,575	440	167	4,595	2,885	12,164
23	JEFFERSON	322	641	104	43	577	281	1,968
24	MADISON	50	61	4	14	53	57	239
	ST FRANCOIS	187	373	9	6	260	328	1,163
	STE GENEVIEVE	48	57	7	8	55	95	270
	WASHINGTON	15	63	7	7	94	32	218
25	MARIES	2	11	0	0	17	39	69
	PHELPS	78	132	14	6	132	145	507
	PULASKI	49	194	19	11	145	138	556
	TEXAS	66	111	14	3	168	150	512

Appendix G: Referrals by Type by Circuit and County (cont.)

CIRCUIT	COUNTY	People	Property	Drug	Peace Disturbance	Status	Miscellaneous	Total
26	CAMDEN	29	83	19	7	71	63	272
	LACLEDE	62	105	18	17	138	79	419
	MILLER	63	110	13	4	135	61	386
	MONITEAU	5	55	4	5	40	16	125
	MORGAN	25	54	10	2	86	54	231
27	BATES	7	24	0	0	22	6	59
	HENRY	9	31	1	1	14	8	64
	ST CLAIR	6	7	3	1	15	3	35
28	BARTON	17	28	2	2	44	37	130
	CEDAR	32	55	4	17	52	64	224
	DADE	9	28	1	0	13	7	58
	VERNON	55	186	5	11	202	120	579
29	JASPER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	BENTON	2	23	0	3	11	31	70
	DALLAS	5	34	3	0	21	49	112
	HICKORY	2	4	1	0	5	11	23
	POLK	8	41	0	0	19	12	80
	WEBSTER	18	40	2	1	14	39	114
31	GREENE	405	1,338	129	91	370	716	3,049
32	BOLLINGER	5	33	1	2	7	20	68
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	177	410	60	59	299	176	1,181
	PERRY	24	110	9	2	96	39	280
33	MISSISSIPPI	136	175	10	9	124	168	622
	SCOTT	108	221	18	11	145	107	610
34	NEW MADRID	32	72	10	1	44	30	189
	PEMISCOT	4	15	1	3	10	12	45
35	DUNKLIN	84	280	26	21	76	75	562
	STODDARD	40	112	14	8	109	157	440
36	BUTLER	99	324	26	67	218	245	979
	RIPLEY	13	71	9	0	63	57	213
37	CARTER	5	17	4	1	8	23	58
	HOWELL	66	108	6	11	206	126	523
	OREGON	5	1	6	0	13	9	34
	SHANNON	2	10	1	0	16	12	41
38	CHRISTIAN	102	206	21	12	103	126	570
	TANEY	157	180	16	18	167	115	653
39	BARRY	53	137	6	15	108	80	399
	LAWRENCE	63	138	14	6	90	85	396
	STONE	23	75	5	0	43	34	180
40	MCDONALD	17	76	14	0	41	106	254
	NEWTON	54	205	30	16	150	254	709
41	MACON	37	97	14	14	151	143	456
	SHELBY	8	21	0	1	53	72	155
42	CRAWFORD	82	124	43	13	160	107	529
	DENT	10	35	0	0	8	7	60
	IRON	11	58	5	1	20	12	107
	REYNOLDS	1	16	2	2	5	4	30
	WAYNE	5	29	0	11	11	30	86
43	CALDWELL	2	22	1	0	11	27	63
	CLINTON	20	35	2	0	15	35	107
	DAVISS	8	26	2	1	7	17	61
	DE KALB	5	10	0	0	6	4	25
	LIVINGSTON	14	66	2	5	54	41	182
44	DOUGLAS	21	51	9	12	32	49	174
	OZARK	6	11	8	1	15	32	73
	WRIGHT	35	67	8	7	67	92	276
45	LINCOLN	90	196	11	26	170	111	604
	PIKE	24	71	1	15	64	38	213
Miscode		16	58	4	3	25	34	140
Total		11,188	24,560	3,172	1,681	23,800	19,730	84,131

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix H: Referrals by Type and Level by Circuit

Circuit	Felony-A	Felony-B	Felony-C	Felony-D	Other Felony	Misdemeanor-A	Misdemeanor-B	Misdemeanor-C	Other Misdemeanor	Infr., Viol., Local	Status	A/N/C	Administrative	Miscode	Total
1	0	0	18	1	1	54	0	23	46	6	395	123	0	0	667
2	2	4	45	4	2	127	47	159	125	5	438	343	0	9	1,310
3	2	1	52	21	1	79	46	12	25	0	50	102	1	0	392
4	0	25	28	11	4	95	83	43	86	2	116	34	0	3	530
5	3	5	96	19	1	373	171	235	59	5	778	258	1	1	2,005
6	5	1	57	8	4	133	70	34	20	8	43	38	0	5	426
7	16	13	187	27	16	519	171	176	53	47	198	229	0	6	1,658
8	1	4	69	21	6	119	62	38	43	6	164	70	1	7	611
9	2	4	30	2	1	42	13	11	77	8	106	107	4	7	414
10	5	6	62	28	9	154	38	65	123	24	235	64	2	1	816
11	10	30	276	71	14	610	256	407	99	63	1,033	31	1	7	2,908
12	6	12	109	51	6	201	111	86	51	28	307	421	1	9	1,399
13	7	15	288	28	29	823	193	324	125	110	1,122	647	198	11	3,920
14	1	0	39	6	0	100	95	107	34	27	104	113	3	3	632
15	0	1	68	5	1	94	71	73	44	18	148	33	1	0	557
16	81	152	1,007	111	151	2,268	482	124	108	4	1,547	2,834	0	5	8,874
17	6	7	86	41	3	241	134	176	50	28	513	87	0	21	1,393
18	1	4	63	19	7	137	88	54	123	17	310	37	6	13	879
19	1	5	84	22	10	273	57	66	56	25	324	119	0	1	1,043
20	3	187	271	82	80	177	67	84	54	13	404	141	14	30	1,607
21	56	189	1,243	207	71	3,522	1,197	1,144	659	571	5,082	1,638	431	37	16,047
22	89	265	1,090	222	103	1,896	290	958	131	216	4,595	2,051	151	107	12,164
23	8	18	241	38	5	410	137	284	55	26	577	159	2	8	1,968
24	2	20	109	18	12	374	130	249	102	37	462	349	15	11	1,890
25	0	5	127	28	19	262	126	146	88	16	462	355	6	4	1,644
26	0	2	85	9	21	267	73	104	241	8	470	120	21	12	1,433
27	0	1	18	10	2	33	13	13	5	1	51	9	0	2	158
28	0	0	102	18	0	247	99	0	39	24	310	143	4	5	991
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	3	7	29	13	7	78	34	11	41	2	70	101	0	3	399
31	12	25	312	33	24	929	321	292	94	87	370	520	28	2	3,049
32	1	12	177	38	9	322	170	141	95	56	402	100	4	2	1,529
33	6	15	157	13	20	230	53	105	190	23	269	139	9	3	1,232
34	5	1	43	2	1	47	17	24	16	3	54	13	0	8	234
35	2	12	93	13	11	241	110	30	146	17	185	136	0	6	1,002
36	2	8	73	4	3	178	212	98	109	4	281	217	0	3	1,192
37	0	1	32	5	12	80	27	41	87	5	243	121	0	2	656
38	3	3	86	34	1	372	88	132	44	23	270	159	3	5	1,223
39	3	7	83	12	3	240	80	90	70	16	241	99	5	26	975
40	4	10	79	13	24	81	37	34	189	4	191	297	0	0	963
41	0	4	43	1	0	88	24	25	38	3	204	173	0	8	611
42	5	1	99	17	9	172	75	77	44	2	204	104	0	3	812
43	0	2	38	1	17	70	42	16	72	3	93	73	0	11	438
44	3	0	32	14	3	107	46	44	29	2	114	113	14	2	523
45	2	1	96	13	11	132	90	95	47	30	234	61	3	2	817
Miscode	0	2	12	3	3	38	13	6	15	1	25	19	0	3	140
Total	358	1,087	7,434	1,357	737	17,035	5,759	6,456	4,047	1,624	23,794	13,100	929	414	84,131

**

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

Appendix I: Number of *Juveniles* Certified to Adult County by Circuit Race and Gender

Because not all circuits and counties use unique individual identification numbers, and because two separate circuits might prosecute the same child using different IDs, a true *statewide* count of the number of children certified cannot accurately be found. The numbers on this table have been unduplicated to represent individual children *within circuit*.

Circuit	White		Black		Other		Total
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
4	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7	8	0	1	0	0	0	9
8	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
10	5	0	2	0	0	0	7
11	9	1	4	0	0	0	14
12	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
13	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
14	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	10	1	35	3	0	0	49
17	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
18	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
19	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
20	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
21	16	0	47	1	1	0	65
22	4	0	42	0	0	0	46
23	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
24	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
25	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
26	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
31	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
32	3	0	5	0	0	0	8
33	7	0	12	0	0	0	19
34	2	0	1	3	0	0	6
35	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
36	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
40	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
41	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
42	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
43	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
44	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
45	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
Total	127	8	159	7	1	0	302

**

Note: This table's totals have been unduplicated by youth within circuit, and therefore will not match the table on page 7 or appendices A & B of this report.

** No data is available for Jasper County for 1996.

[illegible]